1	Walter Mosley, Esq. (SBN 244169)				
1	wm@waltermosleyesq.com				
2	Mosley & Associates				
3	601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 4400				
4	Los Angeles, California 90017				
5	Telephone: (213) 643-6880				
	Facsimile: (323) 415-4277				
6					
7	(Additional counsel for Plaintiffs				
8	on following page)				
9					
10					
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13					
14	ALGERNOD LANIER WASHINGTON,	Case No.			
15	an individual; RONNEL LEVATTE, an	Case No.			
16	individual; WORLD MUSIC GROUP	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR			
17	INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Florida	COM EMINITOR DAMESTOR			
	limited liability corporation; BRANDON	1. COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT			
18	CREAR, an individual; and				
19	ALEXANDER MARTIN, an individual;	2. CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT			
20		INFRINGEMENT			
21	Plaintiffs,				
22	v.	3. VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT			
23		INFRINGEMENT			
	GLORIA HALLELUJAH WOODS, an				
24	individual; MEGAN JOVON RUTH	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
25	PETE, an individual; HOT GIRL				
26	MUSIC PRODUCTIONS, LLC, a New				
27	Mexico limited liability corporation;				
28	UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC., a				
20	Delaware corporation; INTERSCOPE				

1	RECORDS, INC, a division of
2	UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC., a
	Delaware corporation; COLLECTIVE
3	MUSIC GROUP, LLC, a Tennessee
4	limited liability corporation; BELCALIS
5	MARLENIS ALMANZAR, an
6	individual, DEANDRE CORTEZ WAY,
7	an individual; SODMG, INC., a
8	Delaware corporation; COLLIPARK
	MUSIC INC., a Georgia corporation; and
9	DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,
10	Defendants.
11	Defendants.
12	
13	
14	
	(
15	(counsel list continued)
16	Sidney A. Robbins (SBN 608035)
17	srobbins@sarobbinslaw.com
18	The Law Offices of Sidney A. Robbins
19	196 Peachtree Street, S.W., Suite 308,
20	Atlanta, Georgia 30302
	Telephone: (404.589.3595)
21	
22	Nother Ollier Egg (SDN 49170)
23	Nathan Olken, Esq. (SBN 48179) nathan@olkenlaw.com
24	Olken Law, PLLC
25	12555 Orange Drive, #114
26	Davie, Florida 33330
	Telephone: (954.640.8411)
27	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
28	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
	,

5

1112

1314

1516

17

18 19

2021

2223

24

25

26

2728

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., §§ 101, et seq.
- 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 (a) and (b).
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. 1391 (c) and 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, ALGERNOD LANIER WASHINGTON, (professionally known as "Plies") (hereinafter referred to as "Plies") is and at all times relevant hereto was an American rapper, songwriter, and composer.
- 5. Plaintiff, RONNELL LEVATTE, (professionally known as "Big Gates") (hereinafter referred to as "Big Gates") is and at all times relevant here to was an American songwriter, composer, and producer.
- 6. Plaintiff, WORLD MUSIC GROUP INTERNATIONAL, LLC, (hereinafter referred to as "WMGI") is and at all times relevant hereto was a Florida limited liability corporation operating as a publishing company.

///

///

- 7. Plaintiff, BRANDON CREAR, (professionally known as Necronam) (hereinafter referred to as "Necronam") is and at all times relevant hereto was an American producer, composer, and songwriter.
- 8. Plaintiff, ALEXANDER MARTIN (hereinafter referred to as "Alexander Martin") is and at all times relevant hereto was an American composer and songwriter.
- 9. The term "Plaintiffs" as used hereinafter includes PLIES, BIG GATES, WMGI, NECRONAM, and ALEXANDER MARTIN, except as context dictates otherwise.
- 10. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant GLORIA HALLELUJAH WOODS professionally known as "GloRilla" (hereinafter referred to as "GLORILLA") was and is an American rapper and performing artist conducting substantial, continuous and systematic business in the State of California and in this judicial district.
- 11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant MEGAN JOVON RUTH PETE professionally known as "Megan thee Stallion" (hereinafter referred to as "MEGAN THEE STALLION") was and is an American rapper and performing artist conducting substantial, continuous and systematic business in the State of California and in this judicial district.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant HOT GIRL PRODUCTIONS, LLC (hereinafter referred

 to as "HOT GIRL PRODUCTIONS") was and is a New Mexico limited liability company, owned by Defendant Megan thee Stallion, operating as an entertainment and music entity.

- 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "UMG") was and is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business being the State of California. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe and thereon allege that at all times relevant to this action, UMG was and is a media, entertainment and music company servicing artists including, but not limited to Defendants MEGAN THEE STALLION and GLORILLA.
- 14. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant INTERSCOPE RECORDS, INC., a division of UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "INTERSCOPE") was and is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business being the State of California. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe and thereupon allege that at all times relevant to this action, INTERSCOPE, a subsidiary of UMG, conducted business in the above-named county and judicial district and was responsible for including but not limited to the marketing and distribution of music and musical groups including but not limited to, MEGAN THEE STALLION and GLORILLA.
- 15. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant COLLECTIVE MUSIC GROUP, LLC, a subsidiary of

UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "CMG"), was and is a Tennessee limited liability corporation, conducting substantial, continuous and systematic business in the State of California and in this judicial district. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe and thereupon allege that at all times relevant to this action, CMG conducts business with Defendant INTERSCOPE including but not limited to the distribution of music for Defendants MEGAN THEE STALLION and GLORILLA.

- 16. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant DEANDRE CORTEZ WAY, professionally known as Soulja Boy (hereinafter referred to "SOULJA BOY"), was and is an American rapper, producer, and performing artist conducting substantial, continuous and systematic business in the State of California and in this judicial district.
- 17. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant SODMG, Inc. was and is a Delaware corporation owned by Defendant SOULJA BOY and distributing, promoting, and/or manufacturing records for artists, including but not limited to Defendant SOULJA BOY, and conducting substantial, continuous and systematic business in the State of California and in this judicial district.
- 18. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant COLLIPARK MUSIC, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "COLLIPARK MUSIC") was and is a Georgia corporation distributing, promoting, and/or

5

1

8

9

11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

/// 28

manufacturing records for artists, including but not limited to Defendant SOULJA BOY, and conducting substantial, continuous and systematic business in the State of California and in this judicial district.

- 19. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereupon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant BELCALIS MARLENIS ALMANZAR professionally known as "Cardi B" (hereinafter referred to as "CARDI B") was and is an American rapper and performing artist conducting substantial, continuous and systematic business in the State of California and in this judicial district.
- Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued 20. herein as Does 1 to 20 and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the factiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the acts and occurrences herein alleged, and that all defendants proximately caused Plaintiffs' damages alleged in this complaint.
- The term "Defendants" as used hereinafter includes GLORILLA, MEG THE 21. STALLION, HOT GIRL PRODUCTIONS, UMG, INTERSCOPE, CMG, SOULJA BOY, SODMG, COLLIPARK MUSIC, CARDIB, and each of the Doe defendants, except as context dictates otherwise.

8

13 14

12

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

22. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times relevant to this action, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, and servant of each of the other Defendants, acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment, and service.

FACTUAL ALLE GATIONS

- 23. Plaintiffs are authors, composers, producers, and publishers of the sound recording and underlying musical composition entitled "Me & My Goons" (the "Copyrighted Material"). Plaintiff Plies is the owner of Slip N Slide Records, Inc., and therefore, the owner of the copyright in the Copyrighted Material.
- 24. On or around December 15, 2008, Plies' album "Da REAList" including "Me & My Goons" was released through Slip-N-Slide Records, Inc., and exclusively licensed to Atlantic Recording Corporation. The Copyrighted Material has since enjoyed significant recognition and commercial success.
- 25. On or around June 15, 2009, the sound recordings and album artwork of "Da REAList" were duly registered with the Copyright Office by claimant Slip-N-Slide Records, Inc., c/o Atlantic Recording Corporation, evidenced by Registration No. SR0000656475. On or around February 20, 2013, the sound recording, performance, and production of "Da REAList" were duly registered with the Copyright Office by claimant Slip-N-Slide Records, Inc., c/o Atlantic Recording Corporation, evidenced by Registration No. SR0000715076.

///

///

- 26. On or around June 10, 2010, Defendant Soulja Boy released the song titled, "Pretty Boy Swag" (the "Infringing Work #1") performed by Soulja Boy and distributed, publicly performed, and promoted by Defendants Interscope, ColliPark Music, and SODMG.
- 27. Infringing Work #1 incorporates substantial incorporates substantial elements of the Copyrighted Material underlying "Me & My Goons," and interpolated, replayed, and/or reproduced distinctive and protected elements of the underlying Copyrighted Material, including its melody, rhythm, and/or lyrics.
- 28. Upon information and belief, in or around 2024, Defendant Soulja Boy authorized Megan thee Stallion and GloRilla to sample the Infringing Work #1.
- 29. On or around April 5, 2024, Defendants Megan thee Stallion and GloRilla released a song titled "Wanna Be" (the "Infringing Work #2") performed by GloRilla featuring Megan thee Stallion. Infringing Work #2 was released through Interscope and CMG, and has been distributed, performed, and promoted across various platforms, including but not limited to streaming services, digital downloads, and public performances.
- 30. The Infringing Work #2 incorporates substantial elements of the Copyrighted Material underlying "Me & My Goons," without authorization from Plaintiffs.

///

- 31. The Defendants unlawfully sampled, interpolated, replayed, and/or reproduced distinctive and protected elements underlying the Copyrighted Material, including its melody, rhythm, and/or lyrics.
- 32. On or around May 31, 2024, Defendants Megan thee Stallion, GloRilla, and Cardi B released a remix of Infringing Work #2 titled "Wanna Be Remix" ("Infringing Work #3") through Interscope and CMG, which has been distributed, performed, and promoted across various platforms, including but not limited to streaming services, digital downloads, and public performances.
- 33. The Infringing Work #3 again incorporates substantial elements of the Copyrighted Material underlying "Me & My Goons," without the authorization from Plaintiffs.
- 34. The Plaintiffs never granted any license, permission, or authorization to the Defendants to use any portion of the Copyrighted Material in Infringing Work #1, Infringing Work #2, nor Infringing Work #3.
- 35. Upon information and belief, the Defendants had access to and were aware of the Copyrighted Material before creating and releasing Infringing Work #1, Infringing Work #2, and Infringing Work #3. The similarity between the Copyrighted Material and Infringing Work #1, Infringing Work #2, and Infringing Work #3 is so substantial that it cannot be attributed to coincidence.

Despite knowing that the Plaintiffs hold the exclusive rights to the

Copyrighted Material the Defendants released the Infringing Work #1, Infringing Work

#2, and Infringing Work #3 to the public without seeking permission or crediting the

36.

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

///

///

///

26

27 28 Plaintiffs. After being made aware of the infringement through communications from 37. Plaintiffs' legal representatives, the Defendants have failed to take corrective actions,

including offering compensation, credit, or otherwise resolving the matter.

- 38. The Defendants' continued use and exploitation of the Infringing Work constitutes a knowing and intentional violation of the Plaintiffs' copyright.
- As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' unauthorized use of the 39. Copyrighted Material, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer significant harm. This harm includes, but is not limited to: loss of income and royalties that would have been due if the Defendants had properly licensed the use of the Copyrighted Material, damage to the Plaintiffs' reputation and goodwill in the music industry, as their creative contributions have been exploited without acknowledgment, and the dilution of the value of the Copyrighted Material, as it has been associated with another work without the Plaintiffs' consent.

-11-

3

45

67

8

1011

1213

14

1516

10

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 40. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs, inclusive, as though set forth in full herein.
- 41. Defendants, without authorization from Plaintiffs, reproduced, distributed, and/or publicly performed the Copyrighted Material by creating and distributing a sound recording that embodied the Copyrighted Material underlying the registered sound recording.
- 42. Such actions constitute a violation of Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under 17 U.S.C. § 106.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Defendants' actions were willful, deliberate, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights, as Defendants knew or should have known that the Copyrighted Material was protected by copyright.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, the Plaintiffs have suffered damages, including but not limited to lost profits, loss of licensing fees, and harm to the market value of the composition.
- 45. Plaintiffs seek an award of actual damages and profits attributable to the infringement, or statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), as well as injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, and costs under 17 U.S.C. § 505.

2

4

56

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

2425

26

///

///

27

28

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CONTRIBUTORY

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 46. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs, inclusive, as though set forth in full herein.
- 47. Defendants knew or had reason to know that the Infringing Work contained the Plaintiffs' Copyrighted Material underlying the registered sound recording.
- 48. Defendants materially contributed to the infringement by distributing, promoting, or enabling the reproduction or public performance of the Infringing Work. These actions facilitated, encouraged, and induced the infringement of the Copyrighted Material.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' contributory infringement, Plaintiffs have suffered damages including but limited to lost profits, loss of licensing fees, and harm to the market value of the Copyrighted Material.
- 50. Plaintiffs seek an award of actual damages and profits attributable to the contributory infringement, or statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), as well as injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, and costs under 17 U.S.C. § 505.

-13-

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs, inclusive, as though set forth in full herein.
- 52. Defendants had the right and ability to supervise or control the infringing activity related to the creation, distribution, and/or public performance of the Infringing Work that embodied Plaintiff's Copyrighted Material underlying the registered sound recording.
- 53. Defendants directly benefited financially from the infringing activities by receiving revenue from the sale, distribution, or public performance of the Infringing Work.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants vicarious infringement, Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to lost profits, loss of licensing fees, and harm to the market value of the Copyrighted Material.
- 55. Plaintiffs seek an award of actual damages and profits attributable to the vicarious infringement or statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), as well as injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, and costs under 17 U.S.C. § 505.

26 | ///

28 || ///

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs seek judgment against Defendants and each of them, in an amount according to proof as follows:

- 56. For a finding that Defendant have directly infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Copyrighted Material under 17 U.S.C. § 501;
- 57. For a finding that Defendants have contributorily infringed Plaintiffs' copyright in the Copyrighted Material under 17 U.S.C. § 501;
- 58. For a finding that Defendants have vicariously infringed Plaintiffs' copyright in the Copyrighted Material under 17 U.S.C. § 501;
- 59. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Defendants, and all persons acting in concert or participation with Defendants, from further infringing Plaintiff's copyright in the Copyrighted Material pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502;
- 60. For an award of actual damages suffered by Plaintiffs as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, including all profits attributable to the infringement, in an amount to be proven at trial, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) or in the alternative, for an award of statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), up to the maximum amount allowable by law for each act of infringement, including enhanced damages for willful infringement;
 - 61. For reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
 - 62. For costs of suit incurred herein;

- 1			
1	63.	For an award of pre-	judgment and post-judgment interest on any monetary
2	award as permitted by law; and		
3		T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
4	64.	For such other and fu	orther relief as the court deems just and proper.
5			
6	DATED: N	November 5, 2024	MOSLEY AND ASSOCIATES
7	Brillib. November 3, 2021		
8			
9			By:
10			Walter Moley Walter Mosley, Esq.
11			watter wostey, Esq.
12			Attorney for Plaintiffs
13			
14			
15			
16 17	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
18	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in the above matter.		jury in the above matter.
19			
20			
21	DATED: N	November 5, 2024	MOSLEY AND ASSOCIATES
22			
23			D D
24			By: Walter Moley Walter Mosley, Esq
25			Walter Mosley, Esq
26			Attorney for Plaintiffs
27			11001110 Juliani
28			
	1		